



**A registered Political Party
ECI Registration No. 56/89/2011/PPS-I**

**THE MANIFESTO OF
MAI HEE BHARAT**

**Dr. Subodh Chandra Roy
M.Sc., Ph.D., LL.B.
National President**

Bharat, that is India, is known as an independent, sovereign, democratic country. As per the terms and conditions of democracy, such a country is supposed to be managed by the wishes of the majority of its population, which implies that such wishes have to be mandatorily transformed into reality. If it is considered that democracy has taken

root in the country named Bharat, then anything that is happening here needs to be viewed as a reflection of the desires of the aforesaid majority. Hence, about crores of human beings' hunger, illiteracy, unemployment, ill-health, etc. which is commonplace in this country, or even regarding the state's whimsical and arbitrary actions in the name of enforcement of the law, or the rampant corruption in almost every walk of life, we must observe complete respectful silence. Because, if the idea of democracy is correct, no such thing can ever happen without our direct consent.

As in a family, it is usually the father who arranges for food, similarly, in the whole country, the farmers supply our daily nourishment. This means that all the farmers taken together need to be truly glorified as "Father of Nation". But when one hears the news of suicides committed by hundreds of thousands of farmers in this country caused by hunger or anxiety for being unable to repay debt, then is democratic Bharat entitled to find any place to hide its shame? This apparent contradiction has

one and only one explanation: In the name and under the disguise of democracy, the people of this country are continuously made to witness a mockery.

Before entering into the central theme, let us begin with the issue of corruption. People are demanding that this malady has to be cured at any cost since only due to this reason the country is not progressing. It is being said that thousands of millions of rupees that have been siphoned out of the country by unlawful means must be brought back, etc. Here also one may ask: Does it mean that most of the people of this country are dishonest? Otherwise, in a democratic setup how such a system can be carried on? So much amount of money could not be sent abroad without observing the normal legal procedure, which means that for the said drainage of wealth also the majority of the people of this country has direct consent. It is well known that water cannot be preserved in a pot having holes at its bottom, but it seems that we are continuously pouring water in such a pot. Since we are neither trying to repair nor replace the said pot, the wastage

of water is rampant.

But as salinity cannot be removed from salt, the same way it is impossible to eradicate corruption from this country due to the basic fact that injustice is the very foundation of this state! For proof of this proposition, we need to grasp the real intent of the rules and regulations which are named as 'laws' in this country. For several centuries the British have ruled this land. Their sole purpose of ruling was to keep the process of exploiting and looting this land without any hindrances from any quarters. As to draw blood from a body it is necessary to tie up the limbs to make the body immovable, similarly, the people of Bharatbarsha were tied up with countless laws. These laws compelled them to turn into British slaves.

Yet many had dreamt of gaining independence, for which they had to undergo inhuman torture, including being hanged by the neck till death. Even the colonial masters did not flicker their eyelids to massacre the lives of thousands of

unarmed innocent males, females and children in Jallianwalabagh in Punjab by indiscriminate firing. It was told that everything in that gruesome incident was as per the procedure established by law. One needs to remember in this respect that the said laws were made by the British Parliament to wipe out even the very concept of freedom and liberty from the minds of the people inhabiting this land.

It has been inscribed in thousands of books that on the 15th day of August 1947 the territory named 'India' emerged as an independent country, thereby fulfilling the dream of our venerable freedom fighters. But just casting a glance backward one can easily verify that on that day, like many other British laws, only another Act, named 'Indian Independence Act, 1947' had come into force in the said territory. A large number of persons were asked whether they had seen the said Act themselves, in response to which no one had answered in the affirmative. They had either read in the newspaper or heard on the radio that the country became 'independent' on that day. However, in reality, in terms of the said Act,

'India' was not set up as an 'independent country'. In the then British territory named 'India', in terms of the said Act, only two 'new dominions' were set up, one was named 'India' and the other 'Pakistan'. Earlier there was only one colony 'India', but dividing the same into two parts as if two colonies were made for the sake of administrative convenience, in the language of law which were termed 'new dominions'. As per the provision of the said Act, even the power of choosing the Executive Head of the dominion, i.e. the Governor-General, was not given to the people of the respective dominion; he was appointed by the British Monarch as per the provision given under Section 5 of the Indian Independence Act, 1947.

Another astonishing fact to be noted is that though the Act is cited as "Indian Independence Act," yet nowhere in the text of the Act, the word "Independence" can be found even once! Already one year previously, in 1946, the Constituent Assembly was set up by the British Government to frame the constitution for the dominion. At this

junction, one must not forget that none of the members of the said Constituent Assembly was a 'citizen of India'. This is because the term 'citizen of India' appeared for the first time in the 'Constitution of India,' the date of commencement of which was the 26th day of January 1950. Since at least till that day all the people of the British territory were legally British subjects, hence each and everything contained in the said Constitution was bound to be at the behest of the British Monarch. That very Constitution is presently the supreme law of the land, which, even if there need be cannot be replaced by the free citizens of this country to make room for a new one. The reason being, the very act of replacing the Constitution shall be barred by a Supreme Court ruling that prohibits any amendment of the basic features of the Constitution. Let us also remember here that the Supreme Court itself has also been created under a provision of the same Constitution.

It simply means that our former colonial masters have also decided for us the detailed mechanism by which we shall have to manage our

affairs. Once this is the reality, where lies our independence? To understand the matter more clearly, let us take an example. Supposing at the time of sale of a piece of land, the vendor imposed a condition that on the said land, out of his benevolence, he would build a hut and after the sale of the said land, the purchaser shall be bound to live in that hut. If need be, the purchaser shall have the right to repair the said hut but he would be prohibited from demolishing it, i.e., altering its basic features and constructing a concrete house for example. If the above condition remains in force even after the transaction is complete, in the eye of the law the sale is void as the right of the vendor over the said piece of land did not extinguish altogether.

Let us consider that during those days when this subcontinent was passing through turmoil, there was no alternative but to accept the above condition to tide over the situation. But in that case, it was mandatorily required that the said Constitution had incorporated an Article to the effect that after 'independence' the Parliament would have the power

to ratify the Constitution, and if the need arose, it shall be empowered to make a new Constitution replacing the old one. As is evident, no such ratification Article exists in the Constitution. This implies that a Constitution, made for the British Dominion known as "India" suitable to the British Monarch, has been imposed upon the people as the supreme law of the land, whereas the fact remains that our esteemed freedom fighters had dreamt to free the population of Bharat from the British rule and exploitation. And for this, the primary condition was to do away with the terrible British made shackles known as the 'laws', which were made to keep the British subjects under perpetual subjugation.

But even after the 15th day of August 1947, or for that matter the 26th day of January 1950, most of the British-made laws remained in force in the territory now known as 'India'. As per the provisions contained in the 'Constitution', the British-made laws got a new lease of life, thereby keeping the strings, which kept the subjects immobile for

centuries, intact. Due to this very reason even now the country is being mercilessly looted by cleverly keeping the people entangled under the claws of the laws about which most of the population knows next to nothing. According to the present estimate, at any point in time, about thirty million cases remain pending in different courts of Bharat. To appreciate its implication let us assume that in each case at least ten persons are affected directly or indirectly. By getting involved in any litigation the litigant becomes entangled in such a manner that he is rendered useless to be capable of continuing with any other work. Thus in this way, nearly three hundred million people of Bharat remain encumbered due to agonies at any instant of time. It is, therefore, no wonder that their lots do not improve even though there is supposed to be the so-called 'inclusive growth' about which one keeps on hearing year in and year out.

That we have not yet been liberated is evident in our everyday life. Before the 15th day of August 1947, it was rather very common to witness non-violent as well as violent agitations against the

British rule and to ruthlessly suppress the same the Royal police used to unleash atrocious persecutions on the freedom fighters. It was quite comprehensible in those days because the police as Royal servants were duty-bound to act even mercilessly to protect the sovereign's interests. But, surprisingly, the same kind of police atrocities are still very common when one is given to understand that Bharat has gained political independence. If it is assumed to be true, then whose interest the police force is protecting? When democracy has made the people masters of the land, then against whom the same people are agitating? As per the conditions of democracy when we are the lawmakers, what forces us to break the laws that we have made ourselves? Now the time has come to take an intent look at all such questions and we will have to do it ourselves, the very we, meaning all the people of this land taken together, other than just human beings who cannot have any other identity.

In this context, it is necessary to look into the inner significance of the term 'country'. A territory

that is inhabited by human beings is described as a 'country'. Without human beings, no country can come into existence. As an example, though there is a vast territory, yet no country exists on the moon since no human beings inhabit there. This means that the terms 'human beings' and 'country' are intimately related. It, therefore, logically follows that the development of a country must reflect the progress of its human population, as no country can move ahead leaving behind the people who give rise to the country itself. In the so-called scale of advancement, the majority of the people in this country is said to be lagging. But this is a wrongly conceived idea. To perpetuate the artificially created discrimination, the said majority of the population is deliberately kept under such conditions. At the very earliest stage of the formation of the society, the seed of discrimination was carefully implanted so that a handful few could enjoy the benefit of the hard work that is produced by the toiling mass of humanity.

If the people whose labour is indispensable come closer as an integrated whole, then obviously

the said handful few cannot continue with their domination over the vast majority of the society even for any length of time. Due to this reason, by creating discrimination among the population, they have been reduced to insignificant weaklings. Consequently, as a whole, they can never even dare to question the affliction that they are being made to suffer centuries after centuries. And the same is bound to continue unless certain traditional deep-rooted ideas are not changed drastically, the key to which is actually in the hands of the people at large. To change the country one has to change himself, for which it is necessary to have the power of independent thinking. But without human beings the very concept of a country is void, therefore, for anything that happens by human action in a country, only the people are to be held responsible. As the term 'we' is the plural form of 'I', thus technically I am the country. This means I have directly created the country. Without me, there cannot be any country!

Perhaps somebody may ask: "Based on this

very little idea, is it possible to ameliorate the present condition of the country?" The answer will be: "Certainly because the very change of 'I' is bound to signify the change of the country." Even after this, one may continue: "If this idea is so powerful then the misery of the people would have been wiped out long ago. Besides, it is also true that the country has progressed a lot. This country has made remarkable progress even in space technology. This implies as per the logic advanced that there has been an enormous improvement in the living condition of the people as such." In this context, we must appreciate that the fruit of the said progress is being enjoyed only by an infinitesimally small section of the people, whereas the vast majority is kept away from the said progress. Starvation, malnutrition, suicide etc., are still rampant among the majority of the population of this country. The reason behind all these is simply the fact that the idea 'I am the country' has not yet percolated the society.

Only due to lack of this particular consciousness, the sorry state of affairs in the

country is not altering. If the people wake up with such consciousness, it will lead to unwarranted turmoil in the social system which is based on ruthless exploitation. So that such a situation does not arise, the meagre number of exploiters will deliberately try to ignore this idea describing it as a utopia. They will apprehend that all their nefarious structure will crumble like a pack of cards once the people realize their real position in society. But the fact remains that not only this idea is a utopia, but it is also rather a very simple method of deconstructing the so-called civilized system. So that this obvious path remains hidden from the eyes of the people, efforts have been made right from the beginning by putting the majority of the people in the darkness of illiteracy and poverty. Time is now ripe to look for the way out and all of us will put our endeavour in this direction since we are the embodiment of this country!

Without entering into any complication of theories, to grasp the whole state of affairs of this country, let us take the example of a big house in

which some sort of festivity is going on in full swing. Let it be night and the house be well lit and the guests are all in the mood of merrymaking. Suddenly, with an ulterior motive let's assume someone puts off the main switch of electric supply. At once the entire house will plunge into complete darkness and great pandemonium will surely follow as the people will become frightened. Everybody will try to come out of the house but due to darkness, by encountering difficulty of escape, they will start crying foul. Unknowingly one may even hit another; tables and chairs will be turned upside down etc. In other words, it will lead to a chaotic situation. Now, the question is: How to come out of this seemingly unending chaos?

It will appear to be extremely difficult, to the persons unacquainted with electricity, to subside the same. Many will even attribute the situation to the very characteristics of the people, i.e., their meanness, selfishness etc. But the solution is easier than thought. Only one has to put the main electric switch "on". The mere appearance of light will

annihilate the entire disorder created by the darkness in no time. Similarly, the real cause of all the troubles in this vast land is hidden in the innate darkness of ignorance in our minds. Unless it is eradicated once and for all, the problems are bound to linger indefinitely and we will remain engaged in fighting with each other as enemies since the darkness will prevent us from identifying ourselves as friends. But there is no reason to think that somebody has switched off the light. In fact in the history of human civilization, the light of total awareness has never been switched on. That is why most of the people of the world has remained deprived of independent thinking. But we are determined to put our whole-hearted effort into this impossible task of making ourselves aware of our true inner strength. And for that, the time has already arrived.

To begin with, let us first think about the name of this country. From ancient times it was well known as "Bharatbarsha". On the bank of the river Sindhu, a civilization grew up, which in the foreign

tongue became known as Indus Valley civilization. Before the civilization that came into being on the banks of the river Sindhu, in the southern part of this land, a highly advanced civilization was already flourishing, which is evident from the description given in the epic Ramayana. Yet the foreign invaders for their vested interest coined the term Indus Valley Civilization for the entire land and named the country India. Strangely enough, even after 'independence' the name of this great ancient land is still "India". In the case of human beings sometimes we find more than one name, but how there can be two official names 'India' and 'Bharat' for this land?

A glaring example of our deep-rooted slavery can be found in the Constitution itself where the name of the country is given as – "India, that is Bharat". It is interesting to note that the expression is not "Bharat, that is India." So that the British masters do not encounter any difficulty in understanding, the name 'India' has been put first. As we do declare ourselves truly independent hence for the name of our country we will accept the term "Bharat" and not

"India." Many people have studied "Mahabharat" but nobody has ever read anything like "MahaIndia". Let us banish from our country Bharat the term "India" as it is nothing but a stigma of slavery.

Since the candle of true awareness has never been lighted, so the people also literally never got the taste of freedom. Right from the beginning of the formation of society, the controlling power got vested in the hands of the 'king.' Whatever he commanded became the law, always he had the last words. But we do not realise that the very 'kingship' of the king, who is the source of all the laws, is itself completely illegal. Let us repeat it here once again that we will straightforwardly go into the matter without beating around the bushes of elegant theories.

To illustrate it, let us think of a day in the pre-historic time even before the formation of human society. A lean river was flowing, on the bank of which stood a mango tree. A man was plucking mangoes climbing the tree. A little away another

man was busy catching fish. At that time there appeared a third man. After watching for a little while, he asked the man on the tree – what are you plucking my friend? Immediately he got the reply – it's a fruit. Would you like to give it a try? The stranger helped himself with one ripe mango and found it to be very good. He thanked the mango-man and then went to the man who was catching fish. After the same type of conversation, he got a fish also as a gift and thanked the fish-man.

The next day the stranger again came to the scene, this time along with one of his friends. First, they visited the man who was plucking mangoes. When the man on the tree came to know that the newcomer was also interested to try mango, he treated both of them with enhanced enthusiasm and became highly obliged. Afterwards with the fish-man the same was repeated. It is seen that without giving any labour, by consuming mangoes as well as fish, the strangers accumulated nearly double the energy compared to the toilers. This is because the toilers spent nearly half of their energies in the process of

climbing the tree or catching the fishes, whereas the strangers spent no energy at all for the said purpose. In this way gradually the third person became more and more powerful by consuming the crops of others' labour employing the method of deception. As his strength and influence increased, people began to tremble in fear before him. What was previously voluntarily given as a favour, turned compulsory as protection money with time, which ultimately made him the lawmaker and the king. That was the beginning of the king's exploitation of the people in the disguise of 'Rule of Law.'

It is thus clear that the cunning individual started his 'kingship' by deceiving the people in general, in other words, illegally. What was once literally alms given out of pity, was later converted into a forcible collection of revenue or tax. From time to time various policies have been introduced to keep the path of collection of this tax from the population smooth. One of the ways, which is called the Economics that has reached the level of almost scripture today. Since "might is right" is the only

driving force in the entire universe, thus undoubtedly the king can do no wrong and he is unquestionably always right. As the command of the sovereign is the law, therefore, the law-abiding subjects are bound to obey the king.

The people, however, did not voluntarily accept the king's sovereignty, they were made to do so by employing brutal force. Yet the king, however, never forgets that he cannot exist even for a moment unless there are obedient subjects. The fact that the subjects do not realize that they are the real source of all power and that they are all equal and belong to the same family, has created a division between them from the early stage of inception of the exploiting system. Apart from the rich & poor, the educated & uneducated, the high & low, host of other artificial categories were meticulously crafted inventing different religions, castes, etc. In this manner, the people have been divided into groups without numbers, which had never existed or could not exist in nature. The eyes of the naïve subjects failed to catch this shrewd move of the king as they were kept

busy in fighting amongst themselves for no sensible reason. This is how the tentacles of monarchy completely devoured human society.

Under the circumstances, it may be noted that the word 'poor' is truly a misnomer. Customarily a person is described as poor who has to struggle daily for his subsistence, for example, a worker in a coal mine. Risking his life he descends inside a mine every day for lifting coal. Without coal, would there be any coal-fired thermal power plant? Or for that matter, would it be possible to set up huge industries that depend on the use of coal? Hence in the ultimate analysis, the real source of this huge wealth is but that "poor" worker. Then how dare we call him "poor" who is the creator of such colossal wealth?

Now let's come to the word 'uneducated'. How we, the so-called 'educated' people, call a farmer or a shoemaker an uneducated man? It never occurs to us that we, who boast of education, cannot do the job that a farmer or shoemaker can do at ease. Are we not then illiterate too? The reason they

usually do not have any formal education is the fact that they were deprived of such opportunities. It is as if forcibly breaking someone's leg deliberately, pitying him afterwards for his 'misfortune!'

The so-called democracy came after the monarchy. Jealous of the might of the king, some people conspired to seize power. They understood that true supremacy lies in the hands of the people, so the name of the people (demos) was used in the word 'democracy' purportedly conveying the sense that the people were directly managing the affairs of the country. But the fact remains that in reality 'democracy' is only another form of monarchy, the only difference is that in place of 'one king' in a monarchy, there are several 'ministers' in a 'democracy.'

As the shackles of slavery of the subjects must remain intact to enforce the authority exercised by a king, consequently even in the so-called democracy all the laws existing during the monarchy have been retained to keep the mechanism of looting

the people uninterruptedly. As a result, the role of the people as the provider of wealth has been kept unaltered just like the days of the erstwhile monarchy. Therefore, the widely-publicized rhetoric that 'we are all kings' in a democracy is merely but the poet's imagination, which in reality does not exist. In 'democracy' it is said that the representatives of the people will 'direct' the affairs of the country, but in reality, certain people selected by the political party are 'ruling' the country. No wonder that the term 'ruling party' still exists today. No question is asked as to how a 'ruler' exists even after 'freedom' has been achieved, or even where is the relevance of the word 'government' in a 'democracy'?

Elections are held in this country as per the Representation of the People Act, 1951, while those who 'contest' in the elections are not true representatives of the people. In almost every case, they are controlled by one political party or the other. So their responsibility is more towards the party they belong to than to the people. It is only fair to assume that all those who 'contest' the election must have the

welfare of the people of their country as their primary concern. Also, the political parties must have definite well laid-out plans to achieve that aim. If this is true then why is there so much jealousy to 'win' the election? Let us suppose, in the context of painting a house, someone said, the white colour would be most suitable. Another said that it would be better if the colour was pink. The third one might be biased for grey. But surely all of them desire sincerely to make the house look beautiful. If they are not enemies of one another, why it does not happen in politics? It doesn't happen because it is important to maintain mutual hostility to continue with the status quo of exploitation! It, therefore, evidently follows that unless a fundamental change of the state system is made, proper progress and amelioration of the condition of the people is impossible. And to attain this we must first eliminate the sources of our weaknesses.

We all wonder, how to change the inertia of the rest of the system by an individual? First of all, we fail to notice that the present situation of the

country is a result of our inactivity. It is bound to come to an end once we start moving. Secondly, I am not alone. More than one billion and three hundred million 'I' together constitute the present 'India.' Each 'I' is intimately linked to one another, just as the unimaginable attachment of trillions of cells results in the human body. All the body reacts at once when the body is hit. And trillions of cells react together to prevent that aggression. This shows how energetic a single cell is when it is linked to the other cells. Likewise, each of us is a gigantic source of enormous power about which we are quite unaware!

When all the people of this land of ours realize that we are all members of the same family and we complement each other, our consciousness will be awakened. As in an ideal family, there is no place for any corruption, similarly, there shall be no reason for practicing corruption in the country. Just as a problem is shared by all the members of a family, we too will divide amongst ourselves every problem occurring in any part of the country. None

will die of hunger anywhere in the country. This will simultaneously eradicate all the causes of hatred, envy or jealousy out of the people's minds.

On the other hand, since the man's place in this world is said to be above everything, so the money must also occupy a position, which is below the man. But in reality, as the very opposite is experienced to be true, thus we will conscientiously reevaluate the money as well. There will be nothing above the man, not even the money. Since 'democracy' is a misnomer, let's name such an ideal system to be something else. As the people's existence constitutes a country, we have constructed a new term "GANASATTA" in the Bengali language to signify that in such a state the people shall play the supreme role in every affair of the management of the country.

In a so-called 'democracy' the people play only the role of 'voters' or 'electors', whereas the true power to rule over them is held by the 'elected' representatives of the people. Once by getting

themselves 'elected' by adopting any method whatsoever, be it foul or fair, the 'elected representatives of the people' take over the complete control of the system and the people remain only the silent spectators of their own peril !

Under GANASATTA this state of affairs shall be drastically changed. The people shall exercise their real power even after the 'election.' A suitable amendment to the election law to be carried out by the competent authorities so that an elected representative shall hold office during the pleasure of the electors. This implies that the people shall exercise the power to recall an elected representative as and when they will feel it necessary, which will lead to eradication of corruption from the root itself. Even the possibility of such a recall will dramatically ameliorate the situation by sending right message to the concerned individuals.

Once GANASATTA takes firm root, each of us will gradually realize that our existence solely depends on the survival of the others. We are not

above or below anyone else, everyone is equally important. As a result, there will be no sign of hostility towards each other, just as there is no enmity amongst the trillions of cells in the body. It is important to remember that the foot, brain, or any other part of the body is made up of the same cells and that makes them equally important. Yet no such harmony in the relationship, which ought to be quite natural, is witnessed in human society. The reason is very simple! From time immemorial, to prevent the people from being integrated, the division among them was engineered by the "kings" by attributing to them superficial labels of classification. That's why the real Man is still lying covered under the rainbow-coloured misleading slogan: 'Unity in Diversity.' When the real Man gets up and takes control of the situation, GANASATTA will be established, and verily in the state of GANASATTA lies the future of the world.

To set up such a social system, a political party called "Mai Hee Bharat" has been formed. Since we are convinced that all the people of this

land of ours belong to the same family, there cannot exist any obstacle from any corner as we all want the overall progress of the country. Please come and together let us move forward. The future of this country, which implies the future of ourselves, will be exactly as we want it, because without us, that is, without me, Bharat does not exist...

Mai Hee Bharat !

AN EXCERPT FROM THE CONSTITUTION OF
MAI HEE BHARAT:

"Article II. Aims and Objectives

The central aim and objective of the Party shall be to integrate the entire population of Bharat into a single large family. All the members of the said family shall be recognised only as individual natural human beings equal in all respect, disregarding any external and artificial marks of distinction imposed upon

them relating to religion, race, caste, sex, social status, etc. that keep them perpetually divided amongst themselves.

The Party firmly believes that the root cause of sufferings of human beings inhabiting Bharat lies in the fact that the immense majority of them are always kept away from the mainstream process of decision making, while only a handful few manipulate the affairs of the country as per their own choice ignoring the rest of the population as mere numbers rather than intelligent human beings. As decades have gone by without producing any material change, it is, therefore, high time that people themselves directly take command of the situation so that Bharat can excel in every sphere.

As only by the presence of human inhabitants a territory is transformed into a country, thus every individual inhabitant of this country is, in reality, synonymous with Bharat. Such a realisation that he is himself Bharat can instil enormous confidence in every individual to gracefully come forward in the

sacred task of nation-building. Hence, the name of the Party is "MAI HEE BHARAT".

Being guided by this central theme, the Party shall undertake the following course of action:

Paying highest respect to the sovereignty of a free individual human being and realising that each of them has a common heritage and is one of the masters of this land without whose express command nothing shall move in this country;

that poverty, illiteracy, social discriminations, etc., which are but ingredients of friction causing enmity between individuals, are intentionally created to keep them under perpetual subjugation for exploitation;

that an individual who is described as poor is not poor, on the contrary, he is the only source of wealth;

that the devices of enslavement of subjects made during the colonial rule under the name of so-called "laws" are still in operation in full force with all its

blood-sucking tentacles, even though the people are said to be free; and

realising further that any existing system has to be subordinate to the common wishes of the people and cannot act as a means of domination,

Mai Hee Bharat shall be fervently instrumental in all respect to radically change the prevailing social, economic, political and legal systems by calling upon every inhabitant of Bharat to graciously come forward and mind the affairs of the country from a position of strength as well as confidence."